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Summary

The characteristics of an underwater communication channel vary widely, depending on depth, temperature gradients, ambient noise levels, etc. The data rate performance of an underwater modem also varies with the nature of the channel. Advancements in computer architecture have lead to the development of underwater communication modems that implement a diverse array of communication and signal processing algorithms in software. Software based implementations of communication algorithms give us an opportunity to continuously tune their parameters at runtime.

This thesis develops a family of algorithms that can continuously tune the parameters of any modem implementation, aiming to optimize the data rate performance in any given channel. These algorithms tune the parameters for a point-to-point underwater communication link and aim to maximize the average data rate. Since a link tuner that can work with any modem implementation is sought, a data driven approach to tuning is pursued, instead of a physics driven approach. Hence the link tuner only requires bit error rate information in order to make tuning decisions.

The exploration vs exploitation dilemma arises as a recurrent theme in this thesis. At every transmission opportunity, the link tuner can explore new parameter values in order to make better future decisions. Alternatively, the tuner could exploit existing knowledge and improve the data rate performance now. Pursuing a purely exploration or exploitation based strategy leads to poor performance, and finding a balance is key to achieving high data rates.

The tuner aims to maximize the average data rate, rather than attain the maximum possible data rate. The time taken to tune the link is included in our
computation of average data rate. This distinction highlights the need to develop online mechanisms for link tuning, in contrast to running an offline search for the best performing scheme and storing it. The need for online tuning also evident by considering the variety of underwater channels: as the channel characteristics change, modem parameters need to update in order to consistently maintain data rate performance.

The algorithms developed in the thesis are implemented on the UNET-II modem. The UNET-II modem is developed by the Acoustic Research Lab (ARL), and is based on orthogonal frequency division multiplexing. Results from simulation, tank tests and field trials at the Republic of Singapore Yatch Club (RSYC) demonstrate a significant improvement in average data rate as compared to the average data rate attained without tuning. Experimental results are augmented with analysis and visualizations that provide insight into the mechanisms of link tuning.
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1. Background

1.1 Summary of contributions

1. A family of learning algorithms to tune an underwater communication link are derived.

2. A data driven approach to link tuning is pursued, leading to the development of a tuner that works with any modem implementation, and requires only bit error rate information as input.

3. Results from performance tests in: a) simulation, b) water tank, and c) field trials demonstrate a significant improvement in average data rates.

4. An extensive analysis and discussion of results is presented in order to provide insight into the mechanism of link tuning.

1.2 Thesis organization

Chapter 1 begins with a brief historical overview of underwater acoustic communications followed by an introduction to and the motivation for the link tuning problem. The key design features of the link tuner are described. The ideas in this thesis lie in the intersection of the fields of adaptive modulation and machine learning. The relevant literature from these fields is reviewed in chapter 2. Chapter
3 presents a mathematical formulation for the link tuning problem followed by an architectural overview of the solution. Chapters 4 and 5 describe bit error rate estimation, scheme generation and bandit selection algorithms used in the link tuner. Chapter 6 documents results from performance tests conducted in a) simulation, b) water tank, and c) field trials. Chapter 7 contains discussions and visualizations to provide insight into the mechanism of link tuning. Finally we finish with a brief discussion of further research directions that may lead to the next generation of link tuners.

1.3 Historical overview

Underwater acoustic communication (UAC) is a key enabling technology for a variety of applications, such as autonomous underwater vehicles, collection of scientific data recorded by sensors on the ocean floor, pollution and environment monitoring systems, and diver communication equipment. UAC development can be traced back to submarine telephones developed by the Unites States Navy in the second world war period. These devices used single side-band suppressed carrier modulation signals in the 8-11 KHz range and were capable of sending acoustic signals over several kilometers. With much more powerful computing systems today, it’s now possible to implement complex signal processing and communication algorithms on a modem that runs on a modest amount of power.

There are many papers that provide a comprehensive review of UAC, including [1] and [2]. Modern acoustic signal processing has advanced the state-of-the-art for communication technology significantly. Some examples of these advancement include: 1) acoustically controlled robots for maintenance tasks of submerged platforms [3], 2) video transmission from a 6500 meter deep ocean trench [4], and 3) data telemetry in excess of 200 kilometers [5].

Of course, acoustics is not the only choice for wireless communication in
an underwater channel. However, radio waves with frequencies beyond 300 Hz attenuate too rapidly for practical use, thus necessitating the use of large antennae and high powered transmitters. The other option lies in transmission of optical signals by using lasers. Optical signals do not suffer as much from attenuation. However, they are highly sensitive to scattering effects. This requires one to use highly directed laser beams and yet achieve a range of the order of only a few meters.

Advancements in VLSI technology and computer architecture have resulted in modems that are increasingly software driven. Software driven modems allow us to implement a wider variety of algorithms, and the possibility of tuning the parameters of those algorithms emerges. In this thesis, we are interested in developing a general method of improving the data rate performance of a communication modem with tunable parameters.

### 1.4 Modern underwater communication modems

Teledyne Benthos, LinkQuest and Evologics are the major commercial providers of underwater modems. There are also underwater modems developed by university labs such as the WHOI micro modem [6], the UCSD modem [3] and the UNET-II modem [7]. Teledyne Benthos and LinkQuest modems offer data rates of 2.4 and 9.6 kbps [3]. The WHOI micro modem offers data rates of 0.08 to 5.4 kbps depending on the modulation scheme selected, whereas the UCSD modem has a data rate of 200 bps [3].

In reality, depending on the channel, the measured data rates may differ significantly from the ones cited above. For example, the Evologics modem [8] (S2C modem) claims to work at up to 33 kbps [9]. These data rates were tested in the Baltic sea. However, in a 2004 experiment conducted in Singapore waters, the Evologics modems were only able to transmit at about 6 kbps at 10 m range, steadily
reducing to about 1 kbps at 90 m range, and failing to achieve a consistent link beyond the 100 m range [9]. Note that the Evologics modems have been successfully tested at longer ranges since that experiment. Nevertheless, this serves as an illustrative example of the effects of different channels on the data rate performance of the same modem implementation.

Underwater communication modems also feature a diverse variety of physical layer implementations. For example, the Evologics modem is based on a sweep spread spectrum communication scheme. The WHOI micro modem is based on a frequency-shift keying with frequency hopping scheme, while the UNET-II modem is based on orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM). Depending on the implementation, each of these modems have some parameters that can be tuned. As the processing power on chips continues to increase, we are likely to see even more diversity in the physical layer implementations for underwater modems of the future.

According to the Shannon capacity theorem, data rate is limited by the available bandwidth and power. There exist practical limitations imposed on bandwidth by the attenuation characteristics of the underwater channel, ambient noise conditions, transducer characteristics, and so on. Similarly, power is limited by transducer characteristics and cavitation processes [10], among other things. Increasing power also increases inter symbol interference and may not always lead to improved data rate performance. Underwater acoustic channels are both bandwidth as well as power limited. Various other physical phenomena impose other limitations on the underwater channel. Different physical layer implementations together with widely varying channel conditions point to the need for a general link tuning algorithm that can adapt the parameters of any particular physical layer implementation in response to channel conditions.

We test our link tuning algorithms on the UNET-II modem. This modem is
based on OFDM. The default settings on the modem offers a data rate of 345 bps. Under the right channel conditions, the data rate can increase to a maximum of about 11 kbps. These numbers serve as context to the reader in terms of the range of data rates that we can experience on our modem of choice for the implementation of the ideas proposed in this thesis.

1.5 Introduction to link tuning

We seek to improve the performance of underwater communication systems by developing algorithms that can tune a point-to-point underwater communication link. The design and implementation of an underwater communication system includes a number of subsystems, including algorithms for modulation and error correction coding. As our hardware capabilities increase, it is possible to implement ever more rich and varied kinds of communication algorithms to maximize the performance of a modem. In particular, many algorithms are implemented in software. This opens up the possibility of modifying the parameters of a modem at runtime in response to the channel conditions.

For any given modem implementation, the data rate performance of a link depends on the parameters of the communication algorithms in use. Determining what might be a good set of parameter values to use in a given channel is critical for achieving high data rates. The capability of having software control on these parameter values means that one does not need to decide in advance what values to set these parameters to. Instead, we can continuously tune them, in response to the channel conditions. This thesis describes the algorithms and implementation of such a link tuner. In particular, we implement link tuning algorithms to maximize the average data rate in an underwater communication link. We implement our link tuner in the UNET-II modem and present field trial results.
1.6 Motivation

The motivation for a link tuner arises from:

1. The large number of possible configurations for a link.
2. The need for an online tuning mechanism.

For modems with a variety of configurable parameters, the space of parameter values can quickly grow to be large. As an illustrative example, consider the UNET-II modem developed at the Acoustic Research Lab (ARL) [7]. The modulation system is based on OFDM. It is possible to set values for the following parameters:

1. Modulation mode (coherent / incoherent or QPSK / BPSK).
2. Differential mode (time or frequency).
3. Prefix / suffix length (values range from 0 to 1024)
4. Number of carriers (64, 128, 256, 512, 1024)
5. Number of nulls (values range from 0 to 1024)
6. Error correction type (Convolution, Golay, both or none)

Together, they represent 10’s of millions of possible configurations.

The need for an online tuning mechanism is evident by considering the dynamic nature of the underwater channel. There is no such thing as a “typical” underwater channel [11]. The channel response changes with location, water depth, temperature/salinity profiles, modulation methods used, frequency of operation, tidal cycles, and a myriad of other factors. That makes it impossible to search for the best set of parameter values offline and store them. Hence we need a mechanism for online tuning, so that we can continuously make improvements to the average data rate in response to any channel.
1.7 Features of the link tuner

The link tuner that we develop has three characteristic features. Firstly, we use a data driven approach to link tuning, as opposed to a physics driven approach. Secondly, the exploration versus exploitation dilemma plays a key role in the design of the link tuner. Finally, the tuner aims to optimize for the average data rate, rather than attain the maximum possible data rate.

1.7.1 Data driven link tuning

We adopt a data driven approach to link tuning. Specifically, we make a series of choices for parameter values based only on bit error rate data.

An alternative approach to developing such a link tuner would be to base it on our knowledge of the channel from a physics based model. Such a model would need to describe the interaction between a given underwater channel and the communication signals generated from our modem.

However, rather than study physics based models, we instead choose to use a data driven approach to drive our link tuner. Doing so has two advantages: a) simplicity in terms of input requirements to the tuner, and b) decoupling of the tuner from the modem implementation.

The input to our data driven link tuner simply consists of bit error rate data. In contrast, physics based models are based on information such as absorption/spreading loss [11], ray/beam tracing information [12], Doppler / delay spread [13], and so on. Bit error rate information is a fundamental quantity in a communication system, so one may safely assume its availability. On the other hand, spreading losses, etc. are harder to obtain, often requiring the modem to process custom signals and expose special interfaces.

A data driven tuner has another advantage over a physics driven tuner: it
is not coupled to any particular modem implementation. A single data driven link tuning framework can operate on different modems and it remains useful even as the underlying physical layer implementation evolves and changes.

Relying only on bit error rate information and independence from the underlying physical layer implementation prove to be critical advantages that cement our decision to use a data driven approach to link tuning.

1.7.2 Exploration vs exploitation

One can think of the link tuner as a learning agent that tries to learn a mapping from a given state to an action in order to maximize a numerical reward signal returned by the environment. In our case, the state consists of our knowledge, the action space corresponds to the set of available parameter configurations, and the reward corresponds to the data rate.

In order to make better choices in the future, the agent needs to try new actions by exploring the action space. But in order to generate more reward, the agent needs to exploit its existing knowledge. This leads us to a central theme: that of balancing exploration versus exploitation. Pursuing a purely exploration or exploitation based policy leads to poor performance, so we need to find some sweet spot in the middle. Balancing exploration and exploitation is critical for achieving high average data rates.

In a supervised learning situation, which is more commonly discussed in machine learning literature, an agent is supplied with sample vectors and output results from an external oracle. In our scenario, we have no examples of how a particular configuration might perform at the outset. Instead, the tuner needs to learn this mapping by interacting with the channel.
1.7.3 Optimizing for average data rate vs maximum data rate

We are concerned with the whole problem of maximizing the average data rate, rather than attaining the maximum possible data rate. We measure the average over the course of a data transfer. The time taken to tune the link is included while measuring the average data rate. It may be possible to devise a search algorithm to search for the configuration with the maximum possible data rate. We can run the search process until either we have examined all configurations, or we meet a stopping criterion such as a timeout or we have discovered a configuration with data rates higher than a pre-set threshold. Rather than decide on an arbitrary stopping criterion, we aim to maximize the average data rate. In other words, we aim to optimize the entire sequence of parameter configurations that are tried out in the process of link tuning.

Average data rate computation includes the time consumed by the tuning process. From a user’s point of view, this is a better metric to optimize for as compared to maximum data rate. The motivation of optimizing for average data rate can be better understood by considering the role of the link tuner in a data transfer situation. Attaining the maximum data rate would entail sampling a sufficient number of schemes to infer the scheme that has the maximum possible data rate. If the search space is large, and only a tiny amount of data needs to be transferred, the tuner will spend a disproportionate amount of time in the search process (as compared to the data transfer time) if we optimize for maximum data rate. The link tuner client will have to wait until the search process terminates before any data transfer can be initiated. It is also possible that the channel may change fast enough that the search process never terminates because older results become obsolete too soon. On the other hand, optimizing for average data rate
accounts for the time spent in the search process. This ensures that a link tuner client continuously experiences better data rates over time, regardless of the amount of data to be transferred.

1.8 Summary

Software implementations of communication modems allow us to modify modem parameters at runtime. The increasing variety and sophistication of modem implementations, widely varying characteristics of underwater channels, and a large search space for modem parameter values motivate the need for a link tuner that is capable of optimizing the parameters of any modem implementation. We seek a link tuner that continuously modifies modem parameters in an online mode in response to varying channel characteristics. The advantages of using a data driven approach to tuning, rather than a physics driven approach is explained. The exploration vs exploitation dilemma, which plays a central role in the development of the link tuner, is described. The link tuner aims to optimize for the average data rate, rather than attain the maximum possible data rate. This important distinction is reviewed. We implement the ideas proposed in this on the UNET-II modem. The default settings on this modem yield a data rate of 345 bps. Under the right channel conditions, the data rate can increase to a maximum of about 11 kbps. We list the various tunable parameters on this modem and point out the large number of total possible configurations. The need for an online mechanism for link tuning is explained. These numbers provide the reader with context in terms of the range of data rates that we can experience in the tuning process.
2. Literature Review

Literature related to the ideas in this thesis are found in the fields of adaptive modulation, especially for terrestrial wireless/cognitive radios, as well as machine learning. We first cite literature to provide a general overview of these fields. Though none of them directly apply reinforcement learning [14] algorithms to develop an underwater link tuner, we review some work that has close parallels to the ideas explored in this thesis. Finally we explain the critical differences between closely related work and how we build on it.

2.1 Adaptive modulation

Parallels to our work can be found in adaptive modulation techniques developed for terrestrial wireless radio networks and in the cognitive radio domain. For a sampling of literature from these areas, see [15], [16] and [17]. Many of these techniques focus on optimizing energy / spectral efficiency. The adaptation schemes often make use of SNR information and in the case of MIMO-OFDM systems, of spatial diversity / beamforming. See [17] for an example of application of such techniques to 802.11.

There has also been considerable research effort directed towards channel estimation algorithms in both terrestrial wireless networks as well as the underwater communication domain. See [18], [19] and [20] for a sampling of channel estimation literature. We draw attention to [21] as a piece of work which contains similar
ideas as the ones proposed in this thesis. The authors develop a stochastic learning automaton for adapting an ODFM link. The adaptation is based on estimating bit error rates for various modulation and coding schemes and comparing them with associated threshold bit error rate levels.

2.2 Machine learning methods

A distinctive feature of this thesis is the application of machine learning methods to digital communication. In 1959, Arthur Samuel defined machine learning as a “Field of study that gives computers the ability to learn without being explicitly programmed”. See [22] for a comprehensive overview of this field. The space of machine learning algorithms can be divided into 3 primary partitions: a) supervised learning, b) unsupervised learning and c) reinforcement learning. Supervised learning is concerned with the inference of a function from labeled training data. The training data consists of a set of objects, which are usually vectors derived from the features of the underlying phenomena of interest. The labels may be discrete or continuous valued, and the corresponding inferred functions are called classifiers or regressors. Unsupervised learning methods seek to find existing structure in unlabeled data. In contrast to supervised learning, unsupervised learning methods do not require labels. The absence of an error or reward signal to evaluate a potential solution characterizes unsupervised learning. K-means clustering and principal component analysis are examples of unsupervised learning.

Reinforcement learning is concerned with a learning by interaction [14]. Specifically, an agent seeks to take actions in an environment to maximize its cumulative reward. In contrast to supervised learning, the agent is not presented a set of training examples with labels. Instead, the agent needs to generate its own set of training data by interacting with the environment. The focus in this setting lies in the agent’s online performance. There is a critical need to balance between
an exploration of uncharted space and the exploitation of existing knowledge.

The learning algorithms explored in this thesis are categorized under reinforcement learning. [14] provides a comprehensive review of reinforcement learning algorithms. We use the multi armed bandit problem [23] as our model of choice for studying the tradeoff between exploration and exploitation. The multi armed bandit problem has been well studied and there exists a rich body of literature containing many algorithms to solve the problem and its variants. We specially draw attention to the Gittins index [24] because it gives an optimal policy for maximizing the expected discounted reward. The Gittins index has also been heavily used in some of the closely related work to this thesis [25], [26] and [27]. We also point to the upper confidence bounds (UCB) family of algorithms [28] because they are regarded as the state of the art algorithms in a classical multi armed bandit setting [29]. We implement and compare UCB algorithms in this thesis.

2.3 Closely related work

The most closely related work in terms of applying reinforcement learning methods to a communication link is done by Haris et. al. in [25], [26] and [27]. The authors try many exploration heuristics such as Boltzmann, $\epsilon$-greedy and Gittins indexing [24] methods (among others) to optimize the bit rate per hertz of a cognitive radio. We also point out [30] and [31], which are the only literature we know of that discuss reinforcement learning ideas applied to an underwater communication link. The authors try exploration heuristics similar to Boltzmann and $\epsilon$-greedy methods, and also develop other custom strategies.

This thesis builds on the above literature. A distinctive feature of this thesis is that we address 3 aspects of the tuning problem which are not considered above. These aspects turned out to be critical to achieving a high performance link tuner. We elaborate on these aspects of the tuning problem below:
1. Finite vs. infinite search space: The above literature implicitly assumes that the search space for a tuner is finite. In practice, the set of candidate search points under consideration by the tuner would need to be reasonably small in order to meet memory and time limitations for implementation on a practical system. We present here a technique for dynamically generating new candidate search points in response to past results. This allows us to consider a very large search space, enabling us to run fine-grained tuning process.

2. Estimation of packet success rates: We use a Kalman-filtering approach to fuse information from user data packets along with training packets to get bit error rate estimates. We then extrapolate this knowledge to obtain final reward estimates. This approach better utilizes the incoming stream of packet information, compared to simply counting the number of successful / failed packet transmissions and estimating a packet success rate from that.

3. Arbitrary reward distribution: Some of the more sophisticated techniques examined in the above works (such as the Gittins index [24]) require that all actions yield the same reward upon success. As an alternative to the Gittins index, we derive a dynamic programming based index that does not require all actions to yield equal reward.

2.4 Summary

The ideas proposed in this thesis are drawn from an intersection of the fields of adaptive modulation and machine learning. We reviewed literature that provides a broad overview of these fields. With respect to adaptive modulation, one can find similar techniques developed for wireless radio networks as well as cognitive radio. Machine learning can be broadly divided to supervised, unsupervised and reinforcement learning methods. The algorithms used in this thesis are classified
under the umbrella of reinforcement learning. Finally, we reviewed a small, but specific set of papers that are closely related to our work in terms of applying reinforcement learning methods to a communication link. We explain the critical differences between the closely related work and how this thesis builds on top of those ideas.
3. Problem Formulation and Solution Overview

A mathematical formulation of the link tuning problem is described. We describe a high level overview of the architecture of the proposed link tuning solution. The link tuner consists of 3 components: a BER estimator, a scheme generator, and scheme selection algorithms. The inputs to the tuner consist of a set of measurements. The tuner outputs scheme recommendations. The multi armed bandit problem is a key concept used in the design of the link tuner. It is necessary to understand the multi armed bandit problem before we describe the link tuner architecture in further detail.

3.1 Problem Formulation

We define a scheme $s \in S$ to be a vector that contains the values of each parameter we wish to tune. $s^p_i$ denotes a packet encoded in scheme $i$. We can apply an encoding $c \in C$ to a packet, yielding an information rate of $0 \leq r_c \leq 1$. The information rate is defined as the the ratio of the user data carrying bits to the total bits in the packet. The information rate accounts for all header bits. We have 2 types of packets, depending on the encoding $c$ applied to them: data packets and test packets.
The encoding applied to a data packet is an error correction code. Since error correction coding is also popularly known as forward error correction (FEC) in literature, we shall henceforth use both terms interchangeably. A data packet has an information rate $r_c$ that is equal to the code rate of the FEC it is encoded with.\(^{1}\)

Test packets do not use any error correction coding. Test packets are pre-generated bit patterns, useful for BER estimation. A test packet has an information rate of zero. A modem can receive a test packet $n$ bits in length and can compute the number of bits $k$ that were erroneously received.

Based on the above descriptions of data and test packets, we note that the general notions of an encoding $c$ with information rate $r_c$ applies to all packets. However in the context of data packets, these correspond to an error coding scheme $c$ with a code rate $r_c$.

We define the uncoded data rate of a scheme $\beta_j$ to be equal to the data rate that one would obtain by setting $r_c = 1$. $\beta_j$ corresponds to an under-specified scheme (it does not specify the encoding $c$). For a data packet, it is equal to the data rate that would result by not employing any FEC.

For a given $\beta_j$, we arrive at scheme $i$ (a complete specification) if we also specify the information rate $r_c$. Note the correspondence between $i \equiv (j, c)$. A packet $s^p_i$ encoded in scheme $i$ is equivalently encoded in $s^p_{j,c}$. Upon successful reception, $s^p_i$ yields an instantaneous data rate of $\beta_j r_c$.

Each error coding scheme $c$ can tolerate an uncoded bit error rate up to a certain threshold $T_c$. We compute the value of $T_c$ depending on the FEC used. For example, a [24,12,8] Golay code is a block code that carries 12 bits of user information in a 24 bit code word. It is capable of correcting up to 3 error bits. If

\[^{1}\text{Information rate is a general concept that follows from the notion of applying an encoding to packets, and is applicable to both test as well as data packets. In the case of data packets, this encoding is an error correction code, and the code rate is equal to the information rate.}\]
we assume that bit errors are independently distributed, a bit stream encoded with this FEC will not tolerate an average bit error rate greater than $3/24 = 12.5\%$. In general the lower the code rate, greater the threshold tolerance $T_c$.

We have a point-to-point communication link that can return feedback on our scheme choices. For test packets, the receiver reports the number of erroneous bits $k$. For a data packet, the receiver reports successful / failed reception. We are free to switch to any scheme at every transmission opportunity. The modems use a control scheme for communicating control information such as number of erroneous bits or packet reception success / failure. The control scheme is a robust, low data rate scheme. It does not get tuned and is used only for communicating control information.

Given the above setup, we seek to compute a sequence of schemes to maximize the average data rate.

### 3.2 Multi armed bandit problem

We use the multi armed bandit (MAB) problem as a model for the link tuning process [23]. Suppose one is presented with a set of slot machines. When played, a machine yields some reward as per an unknown probability distribution. The multi armed bandit problem is defined as follows: in what sequence must one play the machines to maximize the total expected reward? The MAB problem represents the exploration versus exploitation dilemma inherent in situations like link tuning where an agent needs to learn by interacting with the environment. When a slot machine (also referred to as a bandit arm) is played, we gain knowledge about its probability distribution. At any point, we are faced with a choice. We could either gain more knowledge by playing new bandit arms, or we could exploit our existing knowledge to maximize the current reward. Balancing this tradeoff holds the key to attaining a good performance.
The mapping between the MAB problem and the link tuning process is as follows: a bandit arm in the MAB problem is defined to be the same as a scheme, except that the encoding $c$ is left unspecified. A bandit arm $j$ together with an encoding $c$ defines a scheme $i$. Thus each bandit arm can be associated with multiple schemes, depending on the choice of $c$. A packet $s^p_i$ corresponds to scheme $i$, bandit arm $j$, and an encoding of information rate $r_c$. Note the correspondence between the indices $i \equiv (j, c)$ as described in chapter 3. The uncoded data rate of $s^p_i$ is $\beta_j$. If $s^p_i$ is successfully received, it yields an instantaneous data rate of $\beta_j r_c$.

3.3 Architectural overview

Fig. 3.1 shows a high level overview of the link tuner architecture. The input to the link tuner consist of measurements. The output of the link tuner consists of recommendations for which scheme to use for the next transmission. The link tuner itself consists of 4 components: a database of schemes, a scheme generator, a BER estimator, and a scheme selector. The link tuner is used in the context of a point-to-point communication link with BER feedback. The transmitter decides to switch to a particular scheme, and transmit packets encoded in that scheme. The receiver reports success/failure if data packets were received, and $k$ if a test packet was received. The link tuner is fed these two pieces of information, from which we derive the measurements referred to in Fig. 3.1.

The BER estimator consists of a set of Kalman filters. We maintain a Kalman filter for each bandit arm. The relationship between the BER estimates for a scheme and a bandit arm is explained in section 4.2. These filters estimate the BER for their corresponding arm. This information is used to compute the expected data rate for that scheme, which in turn is used by the scheme selector. The scheme selector is responsible for selecting a scheme from the scheme database. The selection logic is governed by bandit algorithms, which we explore several of.
Figure 3.1: Link tuner architecture
These algorithms work by either computing an index value for each scheme and selecting the scheme with the highest index value, or by maintaining an explicit policy over the arms, whose updates are informed by empirical means. Note that the term index in the previous sentence refers to a value that is computed for a scheme based on its history of rewards. The scheme generator adds new schemes to the database at periodic intervals. Since the parameter space is exceedingly large, it is impractical to enumerate all schemes and maintain indices/policies over all of them. The scheme generator overcomes this problem by progressively adding new schemes to the scheme database. The principle for generating new schemes is that we sample points in the region surrounding the better performing schemes as time progresses. The generation policy is based on heuristics that are informed by our experience.
4. BER Estimation and Scheme Generation

The BER estimator aims to maintain a BER estimate for each bandit arm. It is implemented as a set of Kalman filters, such that each bandit arm has an associated Kalman filter. The inputs to the Kalman filter consist of either data packet measurements or test packet measurements. We define the system model for the Kalman filter in terms of measurement functions, and we derive the filter update equations for time / measurement updates. The BER estimates determine the choice of FEC used. We describe the FEC selection logic and explain the relationships between BER estimates, code rate thresholds, packet success probability and data rate estimates.

The scheme generator adds new schemes to the scheme database at periodic intervals. Since the parameter space is large, it is impractical to enumerate all schemes and maintain policies over them. The scheme generator overcomes this problem by progressively adding new schemes to the database. The scheme generation logic is driven by the results of previous transmissions. The main principle governing the scheme generator is that of progressively sampling the region surrounding the better performing schemes. We describe the heuristics used by the scheme generator and the rationale governing our choice of heuristics.
4.1 Kalman filter for bandit arm

Fig. 4.1 shows an overview of the Kalman filter. The filter maintains a state estimate $\hat{x}$, which is a function of the BER. We maintain a filter for each bandit arm $j$. The estimate undergoes a time update after every transmit-receive cycle. The time update represents the decrease in confidence of an estimate over time due to a potentially time varying channel. When a packet is received, we compute a measurement and perform a measurement update for the corresponding filter. The time update is applied to every filter, whereas the measurement update only applies to the arm $j$ corresponding to the received packet. We now describe the equations for the Kalman filter.

Let $0 \leq \theta \leq 0.5$ be an unknown BER associated with the channel. We observe one of two measurements associated with the BER:

- Test packet measurement: A test packet with $n$ bits is received with $k$ erro-
neous bits.

- Data packet measurement: A coded data packet with $n$ coded bits is received successfully or unsuccessfully. If it is received successfully, we assume that the number of erroneous bits $0 \leq k \leq nT_c$ where $T_c$ is a BER threshold for FEC $c$. If it was received unsuccessfully, we assume that $nT_c \leq k \leq n/2$.

We wish to find an online estimator for $\theta$ given a stream of incoming measurements.

### 4.1.1 Model

Typically BER values are small, of the order of less than 1%. The variation in BER values is smaller still, of the order of much less than 1%. We need a mapping function $f : \theta \rightarrow x$ that would be highly senstive to $\theta$ at these values, where $x$ is the state. Based on this requirement, we use the following non-linear mapping $f(\cdot)$ of BER $\theta$ as a state variable:

$$x = f(\theta) = \begin{cases} 
\log(2\theta) & \theta \leq 0.5 \\
-\log[2(1-\theta)] & \theta > 0.5 
\end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Fig. 4.2 shows the mapping from $\theta$ to $x$. We use this mapping in our model due to it’s high sensitivity to $\theta$ at around 1%, which is the typical range of values of $\theta$ seen in practise.

The system model is given by:

$$x_t = x_{t-1} + w_t \quad (4.2)$$

where $w \sim N(0, Q)$ is the process noise.

When a test packet measurement is available, the measurement $z_t = f(k/n)$ is a noisy measurement of the state $x_t$ such that:

$$z_t = x_t + v_t \quad (4.3)$$
where the measurement noise $v_t \sim N(0, \sigma_t^2)$ and

$$\sigma_t^2 = \frac{k}{n^2} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right) f'(\frac{k}{n})$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.4)

as $k$ follows a Binomial distribution. Here $f'(\cdot)$ is the derivative of $f(\cdot)$. When a data packet’s success or failure is available, the measurement is given by:

$$z_t = \begin{cases} 
  f\left(\frac{T_c}{2}\right) & \text{success} \\
  f\left(\frac{T_c}{2} + \frac{1}{4}\right) & \text{failure}
\end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.5)

As before, $z_t$ is a noisy measurement such that:

$$z_t = x_t + v_t$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.6)
where measurement noise $v_t \sim N(0, \sigma_t^2)$ and

$$
\sigma_t^2 = \begin{cases} 
\frac{T^2_{c}}{12} f'(\frac{T_{c}}{T}) & \text{success} \\
\frac{(0.5-T_c)^2}{12} f'(\frac{T_{c}}{2} + \frac{1}{4}) & \text{failure}
\end{cases}
$$

(4.7)

### 4.1.2 Filter update equations

Given the above model, we have the following filter update equations where $\hat{x}$ is the estimated state with variance $P$. The Kalman equations can be found in [32]. However, [33] provides a much more accessible version of the equations, and we use those to derive ours.

The time update equations are given by:

$$
\hat{x}_{t|t-1} = \hat{x}_{t-1}
$$

(4.8)

$$
P_{t|t-1} = P_{t-1} + Q
$$

(4.9)

The measurement update equations are given by:

$$
K_t = \frac{P_{t|t-1}}{P_{t|t-1} + \sigma_t^2}
$$

(4.10)

$$
\hat{x}_t = (1 - K_t) \hat{x}_{t|t-1} + K_t z_t
$$

(4.11)

$$
P_t = (1 - K_t) P_{t|t-1}
$$

(4.12)

### 4.2 FEC selection logic

We utilize the bit error rate estimates to determine which FEC $c$ would be appropriate to use for the given bandit arm. The relationship between code rate and BER

\footnote{We assume that the underlying bit error rate is uniformly distributed between $[0, T_c]$ for a successful data packet reception and between $[T_c, 1 + T_c]$ for a failed data packet reception. Equation 4.5 uses the mean values of the uniform distribution for making Kalman filter measurements. Equation 4.7 follows from the equation of variance of a transformed random variable.}
for the error correction codes implemented in the UNET-II modem is illustrated in Fig. 4.3, which marks a feasible region. The bit errors in a packet received in the feasible region can be corrected for by the FEC. Packets received outside the feasible region have too many bit errors for the FEC to correct. For example, a packet encoded with an FEC with code rate 0.33 can be successfully received if it has a bit error rate of less than 7%.

Let $\beta_j$ be the (uncoded) data rate of bandit arm $j$ and $\hat{\beta}_j$ be its estimated bit error rate. We maintain a Kalman filter for each bandit arm to compute $\hat{\beta}$. Each encoding $c \in \mathbb{C}$ has a threshold bit error rate $T_c$ and encodes a packet with an information rate $r_c$. Note that for test packets, $r_c = 0$ for all values of $T_c$. In fact there is no notion of $T_c$ for a test packet, since the encoding $c$ used in a test packet is not an FEC. The received packet can not be successfully decoded if the bit error rate exceeds $T_c$. Each arm $j$ is associated with $|\mathbb{C}|$ encodings. Scheme $s_i$ is
composed of the parameters specified in arm $j$ together with encoding $c$. A packet $s^p_i$ encoded in scheme $s_i$ has a packet success probability given by:

$$
\hat{\alpha}_i = \hat{\alpha}_{j,c} = \begin{cases} 
1 & \hat{\theta}_j < T_c \\
0 & \hat{\theta}_j \geq T_c 
\end{cases} 
$$

(4.13)

$\hat{\mu}_i$ is the estimated data rate of scheme $s_i$ and is given by:

$$
\hat{\mu}_i = \hat{\mu}_{j,c} = \hat{\alpha}_i \beta_j \rho_c
$$

(4.14)

### 4.3 Scheme generator

Since the parameter space is exceedingly large, it is impractical to enumerate all schemes and maintain indices/policies over all of them. The scheme generator overcomes this problem by progressively adding new schemes to the scheme database. We have 3 requirements for the scheme generator:

1. It needs to consider the parameter space in its entirety, without discarding any part of the search space.

2. It must progressively sample schemes in the neighborhood of the more successful schemes.

3. We need a mechanism for controlling the probability that a newly generated scheme lies close to the most successful schemes.

Based on these requirements, we arrived at the following heuristics to generate new schemes:

1. We draw schemes from a probability density function (PDF) curve, which we modify as time progresses. Fig. 4.4 illustrates the evolution of the PDF for an example parameter. Each parameter has its own PDF.
We manipulate the shape of the PDF for each parameter independently, even though in reality the PDFs of the parameters are likely not independent. Doing so is acceptable because we do not know the relationship between the PDF’s of parameters. Given the absence of any way to easily deduce these relationships, we treat the PDFs independently. That way, we retain the option to sample schemes in all directions.

2. In the beginning, the schemes are drawn from a uniform distribution. This meets the first requirement, i.e., we consider the parameter space in its entirety. We draw $d_1$ schemes and store them in the database initially.

3. After $d_2$ transmissions, new schemes are generated. We determine which scheme has the maximum expected data rate among the ones that were tried. We refer to this scheme as the winning scheme.

4. We then add $d_3$ schemes to our database. These schemes are drawn from a discrete PDF, which is based on the normal distribution and centered at the winning scheme. Drawing schemes from such a distribution allows us to meet the second and third requirements: we now have higher probability of sampling points closer to the previously successful schemes. Changing the standard deviation of the normal curve provides us with a mechanism to control the probability with which the newly generated schemes lie close to the previously successful ones.

5. This discrete distribution is defined for each modem parameter. It has a support equivalent to the valid range of parameter values. The distribution defines a probability for selecting a given modem parameter value. We need to define a discrete distribution instead of using the normal distribution directly because modem parameter values are discrete and have finite support.
6. The discrete distribution is defined as follows. Suppose we have an integer valued modem parameter \( y \in [a, b] \), and we derive our discrete distribution based on a normal PDF with mean \( \xi \) and standard deviation \( \lambda \). Probability \( p(y_i) \) of selecting a value \( y_i \) is given by:

\[
p(y_i) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{Z} \int_{x_i-0.5}^{x_i+0.5} \phi(y; \xi, \lambda)dx & a < x_i < b \\
\frac{1}{Z} \int_{a-0.5}^{b-0.5} \phi(y; \xi, \lambda)dx & x_i = b \\
\frac{1}{Z} \int_{a}^{b} \phi(y; \xi, \lambda)dx & x_i = a
\end{cases}
\]  

(4.15)

where \( \phi(y; \xi, \lambda) \) is the normal PDF and \( Z \) is a normalizing factor:

\[
\phi(y; \xi, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\lambda\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{(y-\xi)^2}{2\lambda^2}}
\]  

(4.16)

\[
Z = \int_{a}^{b} \phi(y; \xi, \lambda)dy
\]  

(4.17)

7. If all the previously tried schemes have an estimated data rate of zero, we draw again from the uniform distribution.

8. Suppose one of the parameters can take values between 0 and 100, and the winning scheme had the value of this parameter = 75. We then generate discrete PDF based on a normal distribution with mean = 75. Also, 75 divides this range in two intervals: [0, 74) and [75, 100]. We set the standard deviation of the normal curve to be half the range of the smaller interval, i.e., \((100 - 75)/2 = 12.5\). At every \( d_2 \) transmissions it is time to generate new schemes again. At this point, we multiply the standard deviation by a shrink factor \( d_f \in (0, 1) \).

We set \( d_1 = 10, d_2 = 6, d_3 = 3 \) and \( d_f = 0.9 \). The choice of using a normal distribution, the method we use for setting its standard deviation, as well as the values of \( d_1, d_2, d_3 \) and \( d_f \) are heuristics based on our experience:
Figure 4.4: Evolution of probability density curves used by scheme generator
1. If $d_2$ is too large and none of the schemes in the database are performing well, then we have to wait for a long time before exploring any new schemes. If $d_2$ is too small, then we end up generating new schemes too fast. A value of 10 works well in practice.

2. If $d_3$ is set to be much larger than $d_2$, then we end up accumulating too many schemes that never get tried. Hence, our choice of value for $d_3$ is primarily guided by memory constraints.

3. The tuner performance is not very sensitive to $d_1$.

4. $d_f$ controls the rate at which we narrow width of our normal distribution, and we have found that a value of 0.9 works well in practice.

5. Setting the standard deviation of the normal curve to be equal to half of the smaller interval between the mean and the extreme values of the parameter makes the curve more symmetric about the mean.
5. Bandit Selection Algorithms

From the set of available schemes in the database, we need to select one for transmission. The selection logic is governed by bandit algorithms, which we explore several of. We begin by presenting the linktuning process as a sequential decision optimization process. Based on this view of the linktuning process, we describe a dynamic programming solution to the bandit selection problem. This is followed by softmax and $\epsilon$-greedy methods, which are popular heuristics in the AI community. We then describe a version of the Pursuit algorithm, which is a popular reinforcement learning algorithm. Finally, the UCB and UCB-tuned algorithms are described, which are modern solutions to the bandit problem and come with theoretical guarantees.

5.1 Dynamic programming solution

A dynamic programming solution arises out of modelling the link tuning process as a sequential decision optimization process. We explain this view of link tuning in more detail before defining the dynamic programming equations.

5.1.1 Link tuning as sequential decision optimization

The process of link tuning can be viewed as a sequential decision optimization problem. At any given time, we possess a certain amount of knowledge about our
schemes and their performances in the channel. We can think of possessing this knowledge as equivalent to being in a certain state. Given this state, we need to make a decision and choose a scheme. Receiving the results of the transmission allows us to update our state, and then it’s time to make the decision again. Thus the link tuning process is essentially a decision process. We wish to optimize our decisions to maximize the average data rate. Note that maximizing the average data rate requires us to optimize the entire series of decisions, rather than any particular decision in isolation.

Dynamic programming is an algorithmic paradigm that is well suited to solve problems of this nature [34]. We shall now illustrate how dynamic programming works. Consider an environment where we can transition from state $\xi_t$ at time $t$ to $T(\xi_t, a) = \xi_{t+1}$ by choosing an action $a$. $T(\xi_t, a)$ is a function that describes the state transitions. Choosing action $a$ yields an immediate reward. The expected value of immediate rewards are given by a reward function $R(\xi_t, a)$. The key idea of dynamic programming lies in computing the value function $V(\xi_t)$. The value function is a measure of the inherent goodness of that state. The optimal value function $V^*(\xi_t)$ describes the maximum total expected reward looking into the future. The optimal value function can be computed by the following recursive definition:

$$V^*(\xi) = \arg \max_a \{ R(\xi, a) + V^*(T(\xi, a)) \} \quad (5.1)$$

Equation (5.1) is known as the Bellman optimality equation in literature [34].

We now proceed to describe how to compute the optimum value function for the link tuner and describe a bandit selection policy based on it. Note that we do not have an explicit expression for $T(\xi, a)$ in the context of the link tuner, and instead compute it implicitly.

---

1The feedback mechanism is assumed to be reliable. In practise, this is achieved by using a reliable (but low data rate) scheme for exchanging control data. Errors in the feedback will affect our BER estimates. The Kalman filter constructed in chapter 4 is designed to handle noisy measurements and smoothes these errors over time.
5.1.2 Dynamic programming equations

Let the data rate, packet success probability estimate and bit error rate estimate for scheme $i$ (which is associated with arm $j$ and error coding scheme $c$) at current time $t$ be $\hat{\mu}_{i,t}$, $\hat{\alpha}_{(j,c),t}$ and $\hat{\theta}_{j,t}$. Note the correspondence between $i$ and $(j, c)$. We define the state $\xi_t$ to consist of the 3-tuple $(\hat{\alpha}_{(j,c),t}, \beta_j, r_c)$. Choosing an action corresponds to transmitting a packet $s^p_i$. The immediate expected reward is given by the function $R(\xi_t, s^p_i)$:

$$R(\xi_t, s^p_i) = \hat{\alpha}_{(j,c),t} \beta_j r_c$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.2)

Given the results of transmitting packet $s^p_i$, we use the equations in section 4.1.2 to compute the new state $\xi_{(t+1)|s^p_i}$. To compute $\xi_{(t+1)|s^p_i}$, we only need to update $\hat{\alpha}_{(j,c),t}$ since $\beta_j$ and $r_c$ don’t change with time. To update $\hat{\alpha}_{(j,c),t}$, we first update $\hat{\theta}_j$ using the Kalman filter equations in section 4.1.2, followed by Equation (4.1) to update $\hat{\alpha}_{(j,c),t}$.

Given that we transmitted $s^p_i$, updating $\hat{\alpha}_{(j,c),t}$ is conditioned on a successful / failed reception of $s^p_i$. Since failed receptions yield zero reward, we only need to compute the update conditioned on successful reception, and multiply that by the probability of successfully receiving $s^p_i$. Putting all of this together, we arrive at the following expression for the optimal value function for scheme $i$ in state $\xi_t$:

$$V^*_i(\xi_t) = \arg\max_{j,c} \left\{ R(\xi_t) + \sum_{c \in C} \hat{\alpha}_{(j,c),t} V^*_i(\xi_{(t+1)|s^p_i}) \right\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.3)

We define the following policy based on the optimal value function. At each time step, we choose the scheme $i$ that maximizes $V^*_i(\xi_t)$:

$$j(t) = \arg\max_i V^*_i(\xi_t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.4)
5.2 Softmax Exploration

Softmax exploration is a heuristic which computes probabilities for each scheme [14]. The probability of picking a scheme is proportional to its expected reward. Thus, arms with greater empirical reward expectations are picked with higher probability. Let \( \hat{\mu}_i(t) \) be the expected data rate of scheme \( i \) at time \( t \). \( p_i(t) \), the probability of choosing scheme \( i \) at time \( t \) is given by:

\[
p_i(t + 1) = \frac{e^{\hat{\mu}_i(t)/\tau}}{\sum_i e^{\hat{\mu}_i(t)/\tau}}
\]

(5.5)

where \( \tau \) is a temperature parameter. When \( \tau = 0 \), the heuristic exhibits pure greedy behaviour. As \( \tau \) tends to infinity, the algorithm picks schemes uniformly at random. One could possibly use decreasing \( \tau \) schedules, but [35] show empirical evidence that such schedules offer no practical advantages, so we choose a fixed value of \( \tau = 0.1 \) for our experiments.

5.3 \( \epsilon \)-greedy

The \( \epsilon \)-greedy is a commonly used heuristic in the AI community [36]. It is very simple and well suited for use in sequential decision problems. Given its widespread use and adoption, we implement a version in the link tuner. The probability of choosing a scheme \( i \) at time \( t \) is given by:

\[
p_i(t + 1) = \begin{cases} 
1 - \epsilon + \epsilon/k & \text{if } i = \arg \max_i \hat{\mu}_i(t) \\
\epsilon/k & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

(5.6)

One could possibly vary \( \epsilon \) adaptively. However, [35] presents empirical evidence that finds no practical advantage in doing so. In our experiments we find that a value of 0.05 works well, so we use that for our experiments.
5.4 Pursuit algorithm

Pursuit algorithms maintain a policy over each bandit arm, the updates to which depend on the estimated reward of the arm. We use a version of the pursuit algorithm as described in [14]. Each scheme is assigned a uniform probability of selection, \( p_i(t) = 1/|S| \). The probabilities are updated as per:

\[
p_i(t + 1) = \begin{cases} 
   p_i(t) + \kappa(1 - p_i(t)) & \text{if } i = \arg \max \hat{\mu}_i(t) \\
   p_i(t) + \kappa(0 - p_i(t)) & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

(5.7)

where \( \kappa \in (0, 1) \) is the learning rate. We have found that \( \kappa = 0.9 \) generally works well, so we use that value for our experiments.

5.5 Upper Confidence Bounds (UCB)

The UCB family of algorithms are regarded as the state-of-the-art algorithms that solve the multi-armed bandit problem. These algorithms were proposed by [28]. Unlike \( \epsilon \)-greedy and softmax methods, these algorithms are based on sophisticated mathematical ideas and come with strong theoretical performance guarantees. We use 2 versions of the UCB family of algorithms: the UCB1 and the UCB1-tuned.

5.5.1 UCB1

The simpler algorithm, UCB1, maintains a count of the number of times scheme \( n_i(t) \) scheme \( i \) was chosen. Initially, each scheme is played once. Subsequently at round \( t \), the algorithm chooses scheme \( i \) as per:

\[
    j(t) = \arg \max_i \left( \hat{\mu}_i + \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln t}{n_i(t)}} \right)
\]

(5.8)
5.5.2 UCB1-Tuned

UCB1-Tuned is an alternate version of the algorithm proposed by the authors in [28]. It can have better performance in practise, but it comes without theoretical guarantees. The main feature of the UCB1-Tuned is that it accounts for the variance of each scheme in addition to the expected reward. The schemes are chosen as per:

$$j(t) = \arg \max_i \left( \hat{\mu}_i + \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln t}{n_i(t)} \min \left( \frac{1}{4}, V_i(n_i) \right)} \right)$$

(5.9)

where

$$V_i(t) = \hat{\sigma}_i^2(t) + \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln t}{n_i(t)}}$$

(5.10)

$\hat{\sigma}_i^2(t)$ is computed from the empirical sum of squares of $\hat{\mu}_i$. 
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6. Results

We conducted comparative performance tests with a simulation setup, a water tank, and at the Republic of Singapore Yacht Club (RSYC). We first describe the test setup, present the results of our tests and finish with a short summary of results.

6.1 Test setup

We compare the performance by varying the bandit selection algorithm used and plotting the average data rate. The $x$-axis of each plot represents time in terms of the number of packets transmitted. The $y$-axis represents the average data rate at that time instant. All data rates reported are user data rates, i.e., the total number of user data bits received divided by time. Each test was performed by establishing a single point-to-point communication link. Note that in practice, running such a test necessitates the use of a handshake protocol. For example when we switch to a new scheme, a control packet exchange is necessary in order to ensure that both modems have switched to the correct scheme.

The handshake protocol imposes a time overhead of the order of a 2-way propagation delay for every control packet exchange. Optimizing the protocol overhead is essential for obtaining high data rates. In particular, an underwater channel presents many opportunities for such optimization since one can exploit the large propagation delay time. See [37] and [38] for examples of such optimizations. How-
ever protocol optimization is not the focus of this thesis. Hence, all the results presented in this chapter do not account for the protocol overhead.  

6.2 Simulation results

The majority of the tests over the course of the link tuner development were carried out on a simulator. The simulator tries to simulate an water tank environment. The simulator is a random forest regressor trained with data consisting of various schemes and the resulting BER as observed in a water tank [22]. In order to train the regressor, we transmitted 3,546 schemes in the tank and recorded the uncoded BER. The regressor learns a function mapping from schemes to BER, and provides

---

1The time overhead is caused by the handshake protocol. Since protocol optimization is not within the scope of this thesis, we do not account for the protocol overhead in our results. Since the protocol overhead is not accounted for, setting an upper limit on it is unnecessary.
us with a BER estimate when presented with a scheme. In order to estimate the accuracy of the regressor, we performed a 5-fold cross validation test. The data was randomly split between training (80%) and test (20%) sets. The regressor was trained on the training set, and its coefficient of determination was measured on the test set. The process was repeated 5 times, and the mean of the coefficient of determination was found to be 0.98, with a standard deviation of 0.45%.

Fig. 6.1 plots the average data rate attained in simulation. From the figure, we can see that the dynamic programming method attains the highest average data rate, followed by pursuit and softmax. UCB, UCB-tuned and $\epsilon$-greedy have similar performance characteristics. In order to give the reader a sense of the exploration and exploitation process undertaken by the tuner, we also plot the instantaneous data rates. Fig. 6.2 plots the instantaneous data rates measured in the simulation run. As in the previous plot, the x-axis represents time in terms of the number of transmissions.
packets transmitted. The y-axis is the data rate measured for that packet.  

In Fig. 6.2 the dynamic programming (DP) method first starts off with a data rate of zero at time zero, followed by two packets with data rates of around 4.5 kbps, followed by data rates of around 8 kbps for the rest of the test. The data rate drops to zero periodically, and that corresponds to the decreases in the average data rate curve for the DP method in Fig. 6.1.

The softmax method mostly yields data rates of around 3.5 kbps, but also keeps dropping to zero periodically. The rest of the methods have similar behaviour, except their data rates do not drop to zero as often. The instantaneous data rate dropping to zero can be caused by: a) the tuner trying a new scheme that did not succeed, b) the tuner transmitted a test packet (all test packets have a data rate of zero),  or c) the bit error rate increased beyond the error correction capability of the FEC in use.

### 6.3 Watertank tests

Fig. 6.3 shows the performance of the tuner in a water tank. The tank measures $2 \times 2 \times 2$ meters. The modems were placed at diagonally opposite ends at depths of 0.5 meters. We can see that the dynamic programming algorithm has the best average data rate performance.

Fig. 6.4 shows the average data recorded at the end of the tank test. The average data rate of the dynamic programming method exceeds the rest by 4 kbps.

---

2The time instances when the data rate is zero correspond to dropped packets. Packets get dropped when the channel BER is greater than the BER threshold of the scheme. The zero data rate points correspond to instances when the BER turned out to be greater than the BER threshold for the schemes chosen by the DP algorithm.

3The decision to transmit a test packet hinges on the state of the BER estimator, the scheme generator and the bandit selection algorithm. Transmitting test packets has an effect on bandwidth efficiency and utilization. However, these effects have been fully accounted for in all our simulation as well as field trial results. Specifically, we have counted the time spent in transmitting test packets while reporting all instantaneous as well as average data rate results.
Figure 6.3: Average data rate in tank

$\epsilon$-greedy, pursuit, UCB-tuned, and UCB methods have average data rates between 2 to 3 Kpbs. The lowest average data rate is recorded by the softmax method. As a benchmark, we also include the data rate of the default scheme (without tuning). The data rate yielded by the default scheme is 0.345 kbps. All methods yield data rates that are at least twice the default.

### 6.4 Test at RSYC

Fig. 6.5 shows the location of the RSYC test site as map snapshot. The dark red line indicates the point-to-point communication link established for the test. The RSYC is a marina which provides docking facilities for a number of yachts and boats. It is located next to the West Coast ferry terminal. The ferry terminal provides ferry services to a number of offshore facilities. The presence of nearby
Figure 6.4: Average data rate in tank at end of test

Figure 6.5: RSYC test site
boating activities makes the channel a very noise one to operate in. The water depth at RSYC is very shallow (6 to 7 meters on average). Both modems were deployed at a depth of 1.5 meters. Fig. 6.5 shows the location of the modems at the test site. The boating activity together with shallow depths provide us with a very challenging environment to operate in.

Fig. 6.6 shows the average data rates recorded at RSYC. We can see that the dynamic programming method has the highest average data rates for most of the test duration. This is followed by the $\epsilon$-greedy, UCB-tuned and softmax methods. The pursuit and UCB methods have nearly identical as well as the lowest average data rates.

Fig. 6.7 shows the average data rate measured at the end of the test. We can benchmark our results by comparing against the data rate offered by the default scheme (without any tuning). The default scheme offers a data rate of 0.345 kbps.
By comparison, the average data rate of the tuner using the dynamic programming method is 4.7 kbps, representing a 13-fold increase. The lowest average data rate attained by the pursuit method is 1.06 kbps, which is 3 times the default data rate. Fig. 6.8 shows the instantaneous data rate for the tests at RSYC. In comparison with Fig. 6.2, Fig. 6.8 looks more chaotic in the sense that each method experiences more variation in the instantaneous data rates. This is a likely reflection of the more dynamic, and constantly changing nature of the RSYC environment in contrast to a simulated water tank.

### 6.5 Summary of results

The average data rate at the end of the simulation and watertank tests range from 1 to 7 kbps, depending on the bandit selection algorithm used. For both tests, the
dynamic programming method attains the highest average data rate. The average data rates at the end of the RSYC test range from 1 to 4.7 kbps, depending on the bandit selection algorithm used. In contrast, the default data rate (without tuning) is 0.345 kbps. Again, the dynamic programming method has the highest average data rate. The RSYC is a fairly challenging environment due to extremely shallow water depths (around 1.5 meters) and noise generated by boating activity in the vicinity. The dynamic programming method attains data rates that far exceed other methods in a more forgiving environment such as a watertank. In contrast, the average data rate curves for the RSYC test are much closer to each other. In harsher environments such as RSYC, the ceiling for the maximum attainable data rate drops considerably. This suggests that other parts of the linktuner (the scheme generation process and the BER estimator) pay a relatively more important part in the tuning process in such environments.
7. Analysis and Interpretation of Results

We aim to give the reader some insight into the process of link tuning. Over the course of the link tuning process, we dynamically add new schemes from the available search space. We then use one of the bandit arm selection algorithms to choose which scheme to use for transmission. Subsequent sampling is informed by the history of results from previous transmissions.

Fig. 7.1 plots the sampling and selection process for one of the parameters, namely the number of carriers in an OFDM symbol. The x-axis represents time in terms of the number of transmissions. The y-axis is the value of the number of carriers. We have 5 choices for this parameter (64, 128, 256, 512, 1024). The blue dots correspond to the values that were sampled and added to our collection of schemes. The red dots represent the values that were selected for transmission. This plot was generated using link tuning data in a water tank environment with the dynamic programming bandit selection algorithm.

We can see that the tuner begins by adding schemes with all 5 possible choices in its set of available schemes. At the very early stages, it selects a different parameter value each time. Soon after this early stage, it selects the value 1024 for the vast majority of the rest of the tuning session while occasionally sampling / selecting 512. The blue and red dots overlap in the 1024 band. Note that even
Figure 7.1: Evolution of number of carriers sampling and selection (simulation)

Figure 7.2: Evolution of prefix length sampling and selection (simulation)
though the tuner uses a value of 1024 for most of the tuning session, it occasionally switches back-and-forth between 1024 and 512. This behaviour is reflective of a fine tuning process, which occurs after the tuner has already determined that a value of 1024 performs well.

The tuner changes the values of all parameters simultaneously. Let us examine Fig. 7.2, which plots the sampling / selection history for another parameter: the prefix length of an OFDM symbol. The x-axis represents time in terms of the number of transmissions. The y-axis is the value of the prefix length. Most of the selected values (i.e., the red dots) hover at around 256 in the beginning (until transmission \# < 30). The selected values then begin to drop in the region where transmission \# \in (30, 100). They finally settle at values close to zero for transmission \# > 100. We can see that for transmission \# < 100, the selected values are turbulent, which is reflective of the exploratory behavior of the tuner in that phase.

The sampled values (i.e., the blue dots) follow a similar trend to the selected values: we can see that the sampled values are clustered around the selected values. In the beginning (until transmission \# < 100), the range of sampled values is comparatively large. Again, this is reflective of the exploratory behavior of the tuner. In the transmission \# > 100 region, the certainty in our knowledge increases. This is reflected in the comparatively narrow range of sampled values in this region. One can interpret the tuner behavior in the transmission \# < 100 region as a coarse tuning process, as compared to the fine tuning in the transmission \# > 100 region.

The above described sampling and selection process occurs simultaneously across all parameters. Information is shared between the sampling and selection processes, and they drive each other. Besides prefix length and number of carriers, here are the other tunable parameters in the UNET-II modem implementation, along with the range of values they can take:

1. Modulation mode (coherent or incoherent)
Figure 7.3: Link tuning represented as a graph (water tank data, DP algorithm)
Figure 7.4: Node ID versus the number of times the node was tried (+1)

2. Differential mode (time or frequency)

3. QPSK or BPSK modulation

4. Suffix length (0 to 1024)

5. Number of nulls (0 to 1024)

6. Error correction type (Convolution, Golay, both or none)

In order to visualize such a multi-dimensional process, we use a directed graph representation of the tuning process. The sampled and selected schemes tend to cluster in regions yielding better data rates. One can observe that some nodes in the graph are more prominent than others: these nodes are selected relatively more frequently and new nodes in their neighbourhood are sampled. A graph representation also allows us to better check that the tuner has indeed considered all of the available search space. Note that we don’t need the tuner to have selected
schemes located all over the search space: that would result in a highly inefficient tuner. We do however, need to verify that all major regions of the search space were sampled at some point of the tuning process. That way we know that no part of the available space was discarded. We use a combination of a graph representation along with some plots to do so.

### 7.1 Evolution and clustering of schemes

The nodes in our graph correspond to schemes. If the tuner selects scheme 2 now, and the previously selected scheme was 1, then we add an edge to the graph from node 1 to 2. If the tuner selects scheme 1 twice in a row, then we add a self edge to node 1. The scheme generator generates new schemes in the vicinity of the winning scheme. This is represented in the graph by an edge from the winning scheme to the new scheme that was generated. Fig. 7.3 shows the graph that was generated from a run of the link tuner in the water tank using the dynamic programming algorithm. The node sizes are proportional to the degree, where the degree of a node is the number of edges incident on it. The set of nodes has been partitioned according to the number of times each node was selected for transmission. The node colors were assigned to match this partitioning. For example, all the nodes which have no edges incident on them were never selected, and hence they share the same same color.

One of the features that stand out about the graph in Fig. 7.3 is that nodes 52, 20 and 9 are dominant. Fig. 7.5, Fig. 7.6 and Fig. 7.7 show the ego networks of the graph centered at nodes 52, 20 and 9 respectively. An ego network centered at a given node is a subgraph where all nodes are at a 1-hop distance from the center node. From Figures 7.5, 7.6 and 7.7 it is evident that nodes connected to 52, 20 and 9 consist of the majority of the total nodes in the graph.

Fig. 7.4 plots the number of times a given node was selected plus one. (The
Figure 7.5: Ego network centered at node 52
Figure 7.6: Ego network centered at node 20
Figure 7.7: Ego network centered at node 9
addition of one is in order to accommodate a logarithmic scale on the y-axis). From the figure, we see that most of the nodes were tried once. The second biggest group of nodes correspond to the schemes that were generated and added to our collection, but never selected for transmission. Finally, there are a few nodes that were tried more than once. Of these, we can see that nodes 52 and 20 have the maximum frequency of selection.

The tuner starts with an initial collection of schemes that are located far apart in the search space. One can think of this as coarse tuning. Once it finds a scheme that performs well, it generates new schemes in the vicinity of the winning scheme. One can think of this as fine tuning. The scheme generation process continues until the tuner finds schemes that perform better. When this process is represented as a graph, some nodes dominate, and one can conceptually think of such nodes as hub nodes. Figures 7.5, 7.6, 7.7 and 7.4 are illustrative of these characteristics.

Finally, it would be interesting to see the evolution of the graph over time. Fig. 7.8 shows snapshots taken over the course of the evolution of the final graph. The snapshots are in chronological order. They are placed in clockwise direction, starting from the top left corner. The node sizing and coloring rules are the same as those for Fig. 7.3.

The first snapshot was taken at the initialization. At this point, we only have a set of 11 schemes in our collection. Out of those, we have only selected scheme 4 for transmission. The second snapshot was taken shortly after initialization. The red nodes were tried more often than the green ones in this snapshot. We also see that node 9 has emerged as a hub node in this graph: it has the most number of edges incident on it, and it is also the only node with self-edges. The tuner often alternated between trying a new scheme such as 4, 2, 1 etc. and going back to selecting scheme 9. This behavior generates the many edges that go from other
Node 9 emerges as a hub node. Nodes 15, 20, and 22 may emerge as hubs. By the halfway mark, nodes 9, 20, and 52 are established as hubs.

Figure 7.8: Evolution of the graph in Fig. 7.3.
nodes and terminate at node 9, and is indicative of the balance the tuner is trying to balance exploration versus exploitation.

The third snapshot continues this trend and we can see nodes 15, 20, and 22 gain prominence. The fourth snapshot was taken at the midway mark. By this time, nodes 52, 20 and 9 have been well established as hub nodes. We can also see that the graph tends to grow in clusters centered around the hub nodes. The clustering effect is a result of the scheme generator which generates new schemes in the vicinity of the ones that have been found to perform well. We can also see the group of purple nodes, which correspond to schemes that exist in our collection but were never selected for transmission. This growth pattern continues and eventually yields the graph shown in Fig. 7.3.

### 7.2 Visualizing RSYC trial data

We use a different node coloring scheme for this section in order to illustrate that the tuner sampled schemes that were reasonably spread out all around the search space. Fig. 7.9 shows a graph representation of the tuning process. The tuning data for this graph was generated by tests at RSYC, and use the dynamic programming bandit selection method. This graph looks different compared to Fig. 7.3, because we use a different graph layout algorithm to render this graph for visual clarity reasons.

The nodes are coloured as their scheme type. We define 6 different scheme types, depending on the values of the following parameters:

1. Modulation type (coherent or incoherent)
2. Differential mode (time or frequency differential)  
3. BPSK or QPSK
Figure 7.9: Graph representation of link tuning data (RSYC data, DP algorithm)
### Table 7.1: Mapping from scheme type to modulation parameters for nodes in Figure 7.9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>scheme type</th>
<th>modulation type</th>
<th>differential mode</th>
<th>BPSK/QPSK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>incoherent</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>BPSK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>incoherent</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>QPSK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>coherent</td>
<td>time</td>
<td>BPSK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>coherent</td>
<td>time</td>
<td>QPSK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>coherent</td>
<td>frequency</td>
<td>BPSK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>coherent</td>
<td>frequency</td>
<td>QPSK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.1 lists the mapping from scheme type to values of the above modulation parameters. Note that for scheme types 1 and 2, the differential mode parameter is not applicable since they use incoherent modulation. Fig. 7.10 lists the correspondence between node color, scheme type and the percentage occurrence of scheme type in the graph in Fig. 7.9. The node labels correspond to code rate of the FEC used for that scheme, except for the ones labelled 0.0 (those nodes correspond to test packet, and the label 0.0 was chosen to indicate that a test packet does not carry any user data.)

We can see that all 6 scheme types are represented in the graph. Types 5 and 6 constitute the largest share (57.98%). That means most of the schemes sampled in the tuning process were coded with coherent modulation, operated in frequency differential mode, and switched between BPSK or QPSK.

The node labels show that a mixture of test and data packets were sampled. For data packets, we have 3 choices of FEC implementations on the UNET-II modem. They have code rates of 0.5, 0.3 and 0.15 respectively. We can see nodes with code rates of 0.3 and 0.15. However, there is no node with a label of 0.5. This can be explained by considering the FEC selection logic in the link tuning architecture. Recall that the tuner chooses an FEC for a given scheme by getting BER estimates for that scheme. The BER estimates are provided by a Kalman filter based estimator. In order to choose an FEC with code rate 0.5, the scheme
Figure 7.10: Legend for Figure 7.9: node color, scheme type and percentage occurrence of node in graph
Figure 7.11: Evolution of number of carriers sampling and selection (RSYC data)

Figure 7.12: Evolution of prefix length sampling and selection (RSYC data)
needs an to have an estimated (uncoded) BER of less than 4%. It turns out that none of the schemes sampled in the RSYC tests had an estimated BER of less than 4%, hence we don’t see any node labelled 0.5.

Fig. 7.11 shows the evolution of the sampling and selection process for the parameter number of carriers. A blue dot indicates a sampled scheme, while a red dot indicates a scheme selected for transmission. We have 5 choices for values of this parameter: 64, 128, 256, 512 and 1024. We can see that all values were sampled at some point in time. We can also see that 512 and 1024 are the dominant values for this parameter.

Fig. 7.12 shows the evolution of the sampling and selection process for the parameter prefix length. We have 1024 possible values for this parameter, ranging from 0 to 1023. Values ranging from 8 to 352 were sampled at various points in time. We can see that the sampled as well as selected values follow a general, though somewhat chaotic downward trend, similar to Fig. 7.2. The prefix length is one of the measures of overhead in a given OFDM symbol: higher the prefix length, more the overhead. Thus, the downward trend in prefix length values reflects the increase in average data rate over time.

7.3 Summary

A variety of visualizations were generated with the aim of providing insight into the link tuning process. We showed how information is shared between the sampling and selection process and how they drive each other. Illustrative plots of the sampling and selection process were generated for two modem parameters. In order to visualize the sampling and selection process across all modem parameters simultaneously, a graph representation of the link tuning process was formulated. Rendering the graph representation allowed us to visualize the evolution and clustering phenomena of schemes over time. In order to verify that the tuner considers
the search space in its entirety, we generated a slightly different graph representation of link tuning data from the RSYC experiments. This representation confirmed that the sampled schemes were reasonably spread out over the entire search space.
8. Conclusion

8.1 Summary of contributions

1. A family of learning algorithms to tune an underwater communication link are derived.

2. A data driven approach to link tuning is pursued, leading to the development of a tuner that works with any modem implementation, and requires only bit error rate information.

3. Results from performance tests in: a) simulation, b) water tank, and c) field trials at RSYC demonstrate a significant improvement in average data rates.

4. An extensive analysis and discussion of results is presented in order to provide insight into the mechanism of link tuning.

8.2 Conclusion

Link tuning algorithms to tune a point to point underwater communication link were presented. The link tuner is data driven and needs only bit error information as input. Hence, the link tuner is independent of the modem implementation and is useful even as the underlying physical layer implementation changes and
evolves. Online mechanisms for link tuning were developed, allowing us to continuously update modem parameter values for different channels. The online tuning mechanism also allows the tuner aims to maximize for the average data rate, rather than search for the maximum possible data rate. The link tuner was implemented on the UNET-II modem. Results from simulation, tank tests and field trials at RSYC were presented. In all cases, using the tuner resulted in improved average data rate when compared with the default data rate without tuning. At RSYC, average data rates between 1 to 4.7 Kbps were recorded, depending on the bandit selection algorithm used. In comparison, the default data rate without tuning is 345 bps. Finally, a number of visualizations are presented in order to provide the reader with insight and intuition into the process of link tuning.

### 8.3 Further research

The link tuner can be enhanced by augmenting it with physical models that describe the properties of a given modem implementation. Future research into building physics based models of interactions between the underwater channel and communication signals would involve investigation of acoustic propagation models as well as the design of custom signals that can allow us to infer key properties of the underwater channel. Ultimately, a hybrid approach that combines data driven techniques along with physics based models customized for a given modem implementation can lead to even better data rate performance.


[33] G. Welch and G. Bishop, An Introduction to the Kalman Filter. TR 95-041, Department of Computer Science University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, 2006.
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